





Filter Media Properties Effective Size (ES)

- Effective Size is defined as the maximum particle size of the smallest 10% of the media sample by weight and is estimated by plotting the results of the sieve analysis on a cumulative frequency curve
- The effective size is typically expressed as d₁₀ and identifies the minimum grain size likely to influence the hydraulic performance of the filter bed

Centre for Environmental Training





Filter Media Properties Percentage of Fines

- Fine materials including dust, fine organic particles, fine silt particles and clays are the enemy of an effective sand filter or mound system design
- Oirty' sands are one of the most common causes of poor operation and clogging in filter media systems because, over time, fine materials migrate to the bottom of the filter where they can form a restrictive layer and eventually block hydraulic flow through the filter bed
 Cente for Environmental Training

Filter Media Properties Percentage of Fines

 Fine materials are generally defined as all particles passing a 0.063mm sieve. The percentage of fines can be determined during the sieve analysis or interpolated from the cumulative frequency curve if the sieve data is unavailable

Centre for Environmental Training

 Filter Media Properties

 Large Effective Size (d10) ~ 2mm
 Small Effective Size (d10) ~ 0.75mm

 Image Office Office







Australian Guideline Values (SPSF or Mound)							
	ES	UC	% fines				
AS/NZS 1547:2012	0.3mm – 1.0mm	<4	<3				
EPA VIC 2024	0.3mm – 1.0mm	<4	<3				
SAHC 1998	0.25mm – 0.6mm	<4	<5				
Centre for Environmental Training							

Filter Media Specifications – Guidelines, Regulations and Standards								
	Media Specifications			Bed Design	Reference:			
Organisation	Effective Size (mm)	Uniformity Coefficient	Percent Fine Material (%)	Depth of Filter Bed (m)				
Intermittent Sar	d Filter and / o	r Mound Syst	ems					
AS/NZS 1547:2012	0.25 - 1.00	< 4	< 3	0.60 (min)	AS/NZS 1547:2012. On-site Domestic Wastewater Management. Standards Australia and Standards New Zealand.			
EPA Victoria	0.25 - 1.00	< 4	< 3	0.60 (Prim) 0.40 (Sec)	EPA Victoria 2024. Guideline for onsite wastewater effluent dispersal and recycling systems.			
South Australian Health Commission (SAHC)	0.25 - 0.60	< 4	≤5	≥ 0.75	SAHC 1998. Waste Control Systems – Standard for the Construction, Installation and Operation of Septic Tank Systems in South Australia. Supplement A – Acrobic Sand Filters. Environmental Health Branch, South Australian Health Commission.			
Ohio State University	0.15 - 0.30	4 - 6	≤5	0.60 (min)	OSU 1990. Mound Systems for On-site Wastewater Treatment. Ohio State University Extension Service Bulletin, 813-90. http://ohioline.osu.edu/b813/b813_1.html			
Crites and Tchobanoglous	0.25 - 0.75	< 4	Trace	0.45 - 0.90	Crites, R. and Tchobanoglous, G. 1998. Small and Decentralised Wastewater Management Systems. McGraw-Hill, Boston.			
Wisconsin Mound Design Manual	~ 0.30	< 4	≤1	0.60 (min)	Converse, J. C. and Tyler, E. J. 2000. Wisconsin Mound Soil Absorption System: Siting, Design and Construction Manual. Small Scale Waste Management Project, Soil Science Department, University of Wisconsin – Madison.			
US EPA	0.25 - 1.00	< 4	≤3	0.60 - 0.90	USEPA 2002. Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Manual – Technical Fact Sheet 10. Office of Water, U.S. Environment Protection Agency. EPA/625/R-00/008.			
Washington State DOH	Coarse sand media 0.30 – 0.50	< 4	≤3	0.60 (min)	WSDOH 2016. Recommended Standard: and Guidance for Performance, Application, Design, Operation and Maintenance of Intermittent Sand Filter Systems: Washington State Department of Health, Office of Environmental Health and Safety – Wastewater Management Program. Olympia Washington.			
Siegrist	0.25 - 1.00	< 4	≤3	0.60	Siegrist, R.L. 2017. Decentralized Water Reclamation Engineering - A Curriculum Workbook. Springer.			
Centre for Environmental Training								





